

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/923,612	09/04/1997	SETHURAMAN SURESH	042933/328824	2793
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 10/11/200 IRD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			PHAM, KHANH B	
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000		E 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		2166	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

D	
AL.	
ent was	
d limitations	

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
08/923,612	SURESH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Khanh B. Pham	2166	

Interview Summary	08/923,612	SURESH ET AL				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh B. Pham	2166				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel);					
(1) <u>Khanh B. Pham, Examiner</u> .	(3)					
(2) Cory Davis, Applicant's representative.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>05 October 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .	,					
Identification of prior art discussed: Crozier (US 5,701,423) and Norin (5,794,253).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained the invention, discussed claimed limitations and how they are distinguished from Crozier and Norin's teachings. The examiner submitted that Crozier and Norin as combined, teach each and every limitation of the claim 1. The examiner will provide a written response to applicant's arguments in the next Office Action.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	KHANH B PRIMARY E	. PHAM				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required	<del></del>			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)